~~1425~~

Preserve

App F IX 4

Previous References

1929
(Jul)

File No 271-28
Serial Nos 6

Later References

LB 30-29
(G 29-131)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos /

Part B for May 29

SUBJECT

Representative Assembly Subjects
for June 1929

Sec 6 Representation from Bapuvane Dyarchy and the Increased representation to the District Board & R A from the Nadipore & Tarikere Talukas

Ml 271-28-6

Kadur District No IV

Subject:

Increased representation from the Mudigere and Tarikere taluks to the Representative Assembly and District Board

Name of Member

Messrs Bagamane Devagowda, Chickmagalur Taluk
C Subbanna Naik and
M S Dyavegowda, Koppa Taluk
D S Hanumaiah, Tarikere Municipal Council
M Siddalingappa Setty, Chickmagalur Taluk
Dyavappagowda, Mokthesar Patel, Mudigere Taluk

Representation:

The taluks of Mudigere and Tarikere with a population of 43,700 and 57,250 respectively have been returning two members each to the Representative Assembly while Koppa with a less population has been privileged to send three The representation in those two taluks is not adequate It is therefore requested that these taluks may also be permitted to return three members both to the Representative Assembly and the Kadur District Board, as in the case of Koppa

****

Flag P

1) Nom 1 of L 1311 LB 25-26 1-3 Dy 21 8 26 prescribing rules for DB Elections in which each Rev Taluk should have members of DB and certain Taluks are privileged to elect 3 members Koppa a Taluk is empowered to send 3 members however on account of fluctuating interests

Serial No

1) The terms of the present Dl-B will expire shortly & the next general election will come off in July next when the present representation may be considered if necessary

MZ 16/15

2) LB 25 26-3 Existing rules for the Bd Elections

3) LB 79 28-2 Representation for 3 members Yedatore

4) LB 91-28-2 Representation for 3 members Sankeshwar last year's Annual

8 D.A. with proposals putting the original Commercial Inspr this? What are the revenue of the Taluks now in which there 3 members were given — There was a representation for 3 members for Yedatore there the Taluk had been created Think Mamlatdar for the last file of the

MZ 15/5

6 In the case of the Yedatore Taluk the members have desired that the Revenue of the Taluk should be taken into consideration (vide Para 7) the Rev of the three Talukas is as noted

2nd Sankeshwar Rs 163,562-9-3
Gadag 1,25,227-8-0
Karad 137353 2-10
3rd Kadur 10,331-4-7
MZ Chikmagalur 12,688-8-7
16/5 29

7, As already noted by the 2nd Member in the case of Yedatore Taluk the representation may perhaps be extended to the [illegible] representation of the

'Para 7

NOTE—Contn sheet

File No of 192

Serial No

H-M

The I C Law recommended 3 members for Bendigo & Townsville were at the time of the fresh constitution & is one of whom but only 2 members were sanctioned

(Hon Secy)

WD 18/5

18/5

OF THE
20 MAY 1929
9024

Second member

Yes
WM
21/5

Put up a reply doing "that the representation will be considered at the time of the next reconstitution of the Bd" and send to A Secy under Instrs in chats

WD
21/5

Typed reply herewith

H 22/5 — H 23/5

(A Asst Secy)

Copies taken
RWW
23/5